JURY VERDICT FORM

We, the impaneled jury in this matter, find as follows:

| 1. | | Has Design Ideas proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Sunflower motifs on Things Remembered's Flower Candle Basket (shown on page 1 of dant's Exhibit 3) infringed Design Ideas' copyright in its Sunflower flower design? |
|----|--|--|
| | Yes No | |
| | | Has Design Ideas proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Daisy floral on Things Remembered's Flower Candle Basket (shown on page 2 of Defendant's it 3) infringed Design Ideas' copyright in its Daisy flower design? |
| | Yes No | |
| | | Has Design Ideas proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Pansy Type al motifs on Things Remembered's Flower Candle Basket (shown on page 3 of dant's Exhibit 3) infringed Design Ideas' copyright in its Pansy flower design? |
| | Yes No | |
| | D. Has Design Ideas proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the floral motifs on Things Remembered's Flower Candle Basket infringed Design Ideas' copyright in its selection of the three wire flower sculptures together being the Sunflower, Daisy, and Pansy flower designs? | |
| | Yes No | |

If the answer to all four parts of Question 1 is "No," answer no further questions. If the answer to any part of Question 1 is "Yes," proceed to answer Questions 2 and 3.

2. Has Design Ideas proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Things Remembered willfully infringed on any of Design Ideas' copyrighted works to which you answered "Yes" in Question 1 above?

Yes No

| 3. | Things Remembered's Flower Cand | e parts of Question 1 above, you have found that dle Basket infringed Design Ideas' copyright and you ollars, equal to the profit made by Things Remembered ent. |
|----------|---------------------------------|---|
| | s 774,194 | |
| s/ For | eperson | |
| \s/ Juro | Presiding Juror | - |
| s/ Juro | or | - |
| s/Juro | or | - - |
| ,s/Juro | r | - |
| s/ Juro | r | |
| s/ Juron | r | |
| | 7 | |