

EXHIBIT H

Erickson, Amy H

From: Margolis, Paul D
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2008 9:24 AM
To: Levy, Susan C; Mascherin, Terri L
Cc: Roper, Harry J
Subject: Outstanding issues relating to the firm's representation of Parallel Networks

Susan and Terri-

As I believe you are aware, we have entered into an agreement with Oracle to take the Oracle v. Parallel Networks case directly to appeal, and the client (Terry Fokas) has now paid all of his outstanding obligations to Jenner and Block.

However, the question of what the firm wishes to do with the Oracle case and with the pending litigation against Microsoft and QuinStreet remain open. I was just informed by the client that I do not have authority to settle the QuinStreet portion of that case until he is comfortable with what Jenner & Block's intentions are regarding him as a firm client.

From my conversation with Mr. Fokas, I believe that there are three open questions that need to be answered.

1) Does Jenner & Block want to handle the appeal in the Oracle v. Parallel Networks case? I believe that in a vacuum, that the answer to this question is "YES." Not only does the appellate group feel strongly about the merits of our appeal, but much of the work is already done based on the motion for reconsideration that we prepared. Additionally, we have been personally involved in three prior appeals of patent cases where Robinson was reversed in the Federal Circuit.

2) Does Jenner & Block want to handle the QuinStreet/Microsoft v. Parallel Networks case? Last I had heard, the answer in a vacuum was "NO." Our answer to Microsoft's declaratory judgment complaint is due in just under a month and the case is set for mediation before the Magistrate Judge Thyng on January 30, 2009 in Wilmington. Well Gotshal is representing Microsoft and would likely employ a huge team of lawyers much as Oracle did because we are again talking about a royalty base in the billions. Additionally, it is our understanding that Microsoft IIS is one of the few Microsoft businesses that is growing. On the flipside, Microsoft would need to produce documents before fact discovery could really start according to the Court's standard schedule so it is my expectation that there would not be much effort needed on the part of Jenner & Block prior to the spring of 2009.



3) If Jenner & Block does not want the QuinStreet/Microsoft case, is it willing to give up the appeal in the Oracle v. Parallel Networks case? The client is not sure that he can find a qualified firm to undergo the risk and expense of handling the QuinStreet/Microsoft case if the appeal in the Oracle case is not part of the package. Therefore, he wants to know what the answer to this question is before beginning the task of finding another law firm to handle the QuinStreet and Microsoft case, assuming Jenner & Block wants to withdraw from that case.

I am happy to discuss these issues further with you at any time or provide you with any additional facts or opinions about these lawsuits. I know the client is eager to get our answers to these questions, as are the attorneys that have been working on these cases over the past 16 months.

Thanks,
Paul

Paul D. Margolis
Jenner & Block LLP
330 N. Wabash Avenue

12/30/2008



JBPN 00095502

EXHIBIT H