

**FILED**  
US DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA  
JUN 23 2015  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

PRISM TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
v. )  
 )  
SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P., D/B/A )  
SPRINT PCS, )  
 )  
Defendant. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

8:12CV123

VERDICT FORM

**Question 1:** Do you find that Prism Technologies LLC ("Prism") has proven by a greater weight of the evidence that Sprint Spectrum L.P., doing business as Sprint PCS ("Sprint") infringed any of the following asserted claims? A "yes" is a finding for Prism; a "no" is a finding for Sprint.

**U.S. Patent No. 8,127,345**

Claim 1: YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_  
Claim 33: YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_

**U.S. Patent No. 8,387,155**

Claim 7: YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_  
Claim 37: YES X NO \_\_\_\_\_

**If you have answered "NO" to all the claims, then you have concluded your deliberations and your foreperson should date and sign the verdict form, and return the verdict to the Court. If you have answered "YES" to any claims, continue to Question 2 below and answer for each claim you have found to be infringed.**

**Question 2:** What amount of reasonable royalty damages, if any, do you find that Prism has proven by a greater weight of the evidence is adequate to compensate Prism for Sprint's infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,387,155 and/or U.S. Patent No. 8,127,345?

\$ 30 million

DATED this 23 day of June, 2015.

  
FOREPERSON