ORIGINAL

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN DIVISION

SPEX TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WESTERN DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 8:16-cv-01799-JVS-AGR

**VERDICT FORM** 

5

8

11

15

27

26

28

## **SPECIAL VERDICT FORM**

In answering these questions, you are to follow the instructions I have given.

1. Did SPEX prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Western Digital infringed Claim 25 of the '802 patent?

Write "Yes" for SPEX, or "No" for Western Digital.

Accused Representative Product	Infringed? Please answer "Yes" or "No"
Ultrastar He10	Yes
My Book	405

If you found that Western Digital infringed Claim 25 of the '802 patent, answer the following question:

2. What sum of money did SPEX prove by a preponderance of the evidence that it would be entitled to as a reasonable royalty for Western Digital's infringement?

Accused Representative Product	Amount of damages?  Please enter a dollar amount
Ultrastar He10	\$121,845,034
My Book	\$193,870,865

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror should sign and date the verdict form below and notify the Bailiff that you have reached a verdict. The Presiding Juror should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.

Dated: 10.18.24

